



RICHARD S. TEMKO
CHARLES E. TEMKO

TEMKO & TEMKO
22 MARION ROAD, WESTPORT, CONN. 06880
CODE 203 227-7368

COUNSELORS AT LAW
IN
PATENT AND TRADEMARK CAUSES

28,332 CIP
IFW

GAIL E. NICKOLS
COUNSEL

FACSIMILE NO.
203 227-5429

AKT UNIT 1901 EX. D. NAKARAN, 5/23/05
Papers

Applicant: Lester Corns
Ser. No. B/671,693
Filed 01/27/03

Responsive to office letter dated 5/18/05
The requested amendment is submitted
Attention is invited to page 2 & 9

Charles E. Temko

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited
with the United States Patent and Trademark Office as first class mail in an
envelope addressed to:
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C.

20231, on 5/24/05
Dated 5/24/05 By: Charles E. Temko



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAY 27 2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,693	05/18/2005	Lester Cornelius	28,332-CIP	7411

7590

05/18/2005

Charles E. Temko
22 Marion Road
Westport, CT 06880

EXAMINER

NAKARANI, DHIRAJLAL S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1773

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

20050514

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on October 07, 2004 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): The copy of a response to office letter of November 20, 2000 filed in parent application Serial No.: 09/849,884 is either has not been received by Patent and Trademark Office or misplaced by the Patent and Trademark Office. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. S. Nakarani whose telephone number is (571) 272-1512. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney, can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. S. Nakarani
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1773



working
wc. 12/20/02
28,332-a

Art Unit 1773 Examiner D.S. Nakarani
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Paper No. 16

Applicant: Lester Cornelius
Serial No.: 09/849,884
Filed: April 4, 2001
For: ULTRA VIOLET RADIATION BLOCKING
 COATING SYSTEM

HONORABLE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
BOX AF
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

Responsive to office letter dated November 13, 2002, kindly amend as follows:

Rewrite claim 10 to read as follows:

In the claims.

Rewrite claim 10 to read as follows:

—10. An ultraviolet radiation absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 9, said first layer being of a thickness ranging from 9 to 15 microns, said second layer ranging in thickness from 3 to 5 microns.—

Rewrite claim 11 to read as follows:

—11. An ultraviolet absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 10, including a substrate, said first layer being applied to one surface of said substrate, said second layer being applied to a second opposite surface of said substrate.— ✓

Rewrite claim 12 to read as follows:

—12. An ultraviolet absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 11, in laminative combination with a printed surface of a paper sheet, in which said first layer is adjacent said printed surface.—


Rewrite claim 13 to read as follows:

—13. An ultraviolet absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 9, wherein the second layer includes a minor amount of tetramethylaminohydroxine for enhanced quenching of the optical brightener in the first layer.—

REMARKS

The above amendment is proposed for the reason that an amendment filed by fax in June, 2002, which was orally acknowledged by Examiner during a telephone interview, was apparently not entered into the record. It includes changes proposed by Examiner in Examiner's Answer, in order to place the claims in best condition for consideration by the Board. A separate reply by Applicant is also being made.

Respectfully,



Charles E. Temko
Attorney for Applicant

22 Marion Road
Westport, CT 06880
(203) 227-7368

Dated: December ____, 2002

In the claims.

Rewrite claim 10 to read as follows:

--10. An ultraviolet radiation absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 9, said first layer being of a thickness ranging ^{from} between 9 to 15 microns, said second layer ranging in thickness ^{from} between 3 to 5 microns.--

Rewrite claim 11 to read as follows:

--11. An ultraviolet absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 10, including a substrate, said first layer being applied to one surface of said substrate, said second layer being applied to a second opposite surface of said substrate.--

Rewrite claim 12 to read as follows:

--12. An ultraviolet absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 11, in laminative combination with a printed surface of a paper sheet, in which said first layer is adjacent said printed surface.--

Rewrite claim 13 to read as follows:

--13. An ultraviolet absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 9, wherein the ^{second layer} outer coating includes a minor amount of tetramethylaminohydroxine for enhanced quenching of the optical brightener in the ^{pink layer} inner coating.--

REMARKS

As requested by Examiner, the specification has been again reviewed, but it is believed that all other errors have now been corrected.

By the present amendment, it is believed that spelling errors have been corrected.



28,332-a

Art Unit 1773 Examiner D.S. Nakarani
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Paper No. 16

Applicant: Lester Cornelius

Serial No.: 09/849,884

Filed: April 4, 2001

For: ULTRA VIOLET RADIATION BLOCKING
 COATING SYSTEM

HONORABLE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
BOX AF
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

Responsive to office letter dated November 13, 2002, kindly amend as follows:

Rewrite claim 10 to read as follows:

In the claims.

Rewrite claim 10 to read as follows:

—10. An ultraviolet radiation absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 9, said first layer being of a thickness ranging from 9 to 15 microns, said second layer ranging in thickness from 3 to 5 microns.—

Rewrite claim 11 to read as follows:

—11. An ultraviolet absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 10, including a substrate, said first layer being applied to one surface of said substrate, said second layer being applied to a second opposite surface of said substrate.—

Rewrite claim 12 to read as follows:

—12. An ultraviolet absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 11, in laminative combination with a printed surface of a paper sheet, in which said first layer is adjacent said printed surface.—

Rewrite claim 13 to read as follows:

—13. An ultraviolet absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 9, wherein the second layer includes a minor amount of tetramethylaminohydroxine for enhanced quenching of the optical brightener in the first layer.—

REMARKS

The above amendment is proposed for the reason that an amendment filed by fax in June, 2002, which was orally acknowledged by Examiner during a telephone interview, was apparently not entered into the record. It includes changes proposed by Examiner in Examiner's Answer, in order to place the claims in best condition for consideration by the Board. A separate reply by Applicant is also being made.

Respectfully,



Charles E. Temko
Attorney for Applicant

22 Marion Road
Westport, CT 06880
(203) 227-7368

Dated: December ____, 2002

In the claims.

Rewrite claim 10 to read as follows:

--10. An ultraviolet radiation absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 9, said first layer being of a thickness ranging ^{from} between 9 to 15 microns, said second layer ranging in thickness ^{from} between 3 to 5 microns.--

Rewrite claim 11 to read as follows:

--11. An ultraviolet absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 10, including a substrate, said first layer being applied to one surface of said substrate, said second layer being applied to a second opposite surface of said substrate.--

Rewrite claim 12 to read as follows:

--12. An ultraviolet absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 11, in laminative combination with a printed surface of a paper sheet, in which said first layer is adjacent said printed surface.--

Rewrite claim 13 to read as follows:

--13. An ultraviolet absorbing coating system in accordance with claim 9, wherein the ^{second layer} outer coating includes a minor amount of tetramethylaminohydroxine for enhanced quenching of the optical brightener in the ^{pink layer} inner coating.--

REMARKS

As requested by Examiner, the specification has been again reviewed, but it is believed that all other errors have now been corrected.

By the present amendment, it is believed that spelling errors have been corrected.